arXiv:1610.03740v1 [math.RA] 12 Oct 2016

Extensions of almost faithful prime ideals in virtually nilpotent mod-p Iwasawa algebras

Billy Woods

October 13, 2016

Abstract

Let G be a nilpotent-by-finite compact p-adic analytic group for some $p > 2$, and $H = \mathbf{FN}_p(G)$ its finite-by-(nilpotent p-valuable) radical. Fix a finite field k of characteristic p, and write kG for the completed group ring of G over k. We show that almost faithful G-stable prime ideals P of kH extend to prime ideals PkG of kG.

Contents

1 p-valuations and crossed products **4**
1.1 Separating a free abelian quotient 4
1.2 p-valuations 6
1.3 Crossed products 11

2 A graded ring **15**
2.1 Generalities on ring filtrations 15
2.2 Constructing a suitable valuation 17
2.3 Automorphisms trivial on a free abelian quotient 23

3 Extending prime ideals **27**
3.1 X-inner automorphisms 27
3.2 Properties of $\mathbf{FN}_p(G)$ 27
3.3 Main theorem 29

Introduction

Fix a prime $p > 2$. Let G be a nilpotent-by-finite compact p-adic analytic group and k a finite field of characteristic p.

Recall the characteristic open subgroup $H = \mathbf{FN}_p(G)$, the *finite-by-(nilpotent p-valuable) radical* of G, defined in [12, Theorem B]. This plays an important role in the structure of the group G: for instance, see the structure theorem [12, Theorem D]

If M is a minimal prime of the completed group algebra kH, then kH/M is subject to the strong version of the untwisting theorem [11, Theorem A], which states that kH/M is a matrix ring with coefficients in $k'[[H/\Delta^+]]$. Hence it is often possible to reduce problems about prime ideals of kH to prime ideals of $k'[[H/\Delta^+]]$, where H/Δ^+ is nilpotent and p-valuable.

In this paper, we demonstrate a connection between certain prime ideals of kH and those of kG. Our main theorem is:

Theorem A. Let P be an almost faithful, G-stable prime ideal of kH. Then PkG is a prime ideal of kG.

The proof is fairly technical; the main structure is as follows. Retain the notation of Theorem A.

We will mostly assume throughout that $\Delta^+ = 1$, an assumption we justify in Proposition 3.8. Then H is p-valuable. In §1, we assume that we are given an automorphism σ of H, and we construct a p-valuation ω on H which helps us to detect the action of σ on a free abelian pro-p quotient of H; in particular, we translate the condition that σ should preserve the ω-dominant terms of certain elements of H into a linear algebra condition. See Theorem 1.1 for details.

From this ω, we construct a ring filtration f on a partial quotient ring Q of kH/P and analyse the graded ring $\mathrm{gr}_f Q$. In Corollaries 2.21 and 2.22, we show that the linear algebra condition obtained above is satisfied when σ preserves the f-dominant terms of certain elements of $H \hookrightarrow (kH/P)^\times$.

The theorem is proved in Propositions 3.7 and 3.8, where σ is taken to be conjugation by some $g \in G$.

1 p-valuations and crossed products

1.1 Separating a free abelian quotient

Let G be a p-valuable group with fixed p-valuation ω, and let $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(G)$. In this section and the next, we seek to establish conditions under which σ will preserve the "dominant" part of certain elements $x \in G$ (with respect to ω). That is, write

$$G_{\omega,\lambda} := G_\lambda := \omega^{-1}([\lambda, \infty]),$$
$$G_{\omega,\lambda^+} := G_{\lambda^+} := \omega^{-1}((\lambda, \infty])$$

and define the *graded group*

$$\mathrm{gr}_\omega G := \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} G_\lambda / G_{\lambda^+}.$$

Then each element $1 \neq x \in G$ has a *principal symbol*

$$\mathrm{gr}_\omega(x) := x G_{\mu^+} \in G_\mu / G_{\mu^+} \leq \mathrm{gr}_\omega G,$$

where μ is defined such that $\mu = \omega(x)$; and we are looking for a condition under which

$$\mathrm{gr}_\omega(\sigma(x)) = \mathrm{gr}_\omega(x).$$

Clearly it is necessary and sufficient that the following holds:

$$\omega(\sigma(x)x^{-1}) > \omega(x). \tag{1.1}$$

Our aim is to invoke the following technical result.

Theorem 1.1. Let G be a p-valuable group, and let L be a proper closed isolated orbital (hence normal) subgroup containing $[G, G]$, so that we have an isomorphism $\varphi : G/L \to \mathbb{Z}_p^d$ for some $d \geq 1$. Write $q : G \to G/L$ for the natural quotient map.

Choose a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-basis $\{e_1, \ldots, e_d\}$ for $\mathbb{Z}_p^d$. For each $1 \leq i \leq d$, fix an element $g_i \in G$ with $\varphi \circ q(g_i) = e_i$. Fix an automorphism σ of G preserving L, so that σ induces an automorphism $\overline{\sigma}$ of G/L, and hence an automorphism $\hat{\sigma} = \varphi \circ \overline{\sigma} \circ \varphi^{-1}$ of $\mathbb{Z}_p^d$. Let M_σ be the matrix of $\hat{\sigma}$ with respect to the basis $\{e_1, \ldots, e_d\}$.

Suppose there exists some p-valuation ω on G with the following properties:

(i) (1.1) holds for all $x \in \{g_1, \ldots, g_d\}$,

(ii) $\omega(g_1) = \cdots = \omega(g_d) (= t$, say),

(iii) $\omega(\ell) > t$ for all $\ell \in L$.

Then $M_\sigma - 1 \in pM_d(\mathbb{Z}_p)$.

Before proving this, we will define a particular p-valuation on abelian p-valuable groups.

Definition 1.2. Let A be a free abelian pro-p group of rank $d > 0$ (here written multiplicatively). Choose a real number $t > (p-1)^{-1}$. Then the (t,p)-*filtration* on A is the function $\omega : A \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ defined by

$$\omega(x) = t + n,$$

where n is the non-negative integer such that $x \in A^{p^n} \setminus A^{p^{n-1}}$. (By convention, $\omega(1) = \infty$.)

Lemma 1.3. Let A and t be as in the above definition.

(i) The (t,p)-filtration ω is a p-valuation on A.

(ii) Suppose we are given a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-basis $\{a_1, \ldots, a_d\}$ for A, and a p-valuation α on A satisfying $\alpha(a_1) = \cdots = \alpha(a_d) = t$. Then α is the (t,p)-filtration on A.

(iii) The (t,p)-filtration ω is completely invariant under automorphisms of A, i.e. the subgroups $A_{\omega,\lambda}$ and A_{ω,λ^+} are characteristic in A.

Proof.

(i) This is a trivial check from the definition [6, III, 2.1.2].

(ii) By [6, III, 2.2.4], we see that

$$\alpha(a_1^{\lambda_1} \ldots a_d^{\lambda_d}) = t + \inf_{1 \le i \le d} \{v_p(\lambda_i)\},$$

which is precisely the (t,p)-filtration.

(iii) The subgroups A^{p^n} are clearly characteristic in A. □

Remark. The (t,p)-filtration as defined above is equivalent to the definition given in [6, II, 3.2.1] for free abelian pro-p groups of finite rank.

Remark. Suppose ω satisfies hypothesis (iii) of Theorem 1.1. Then hypothesis (ii) is equivalent to the statement that ω induces the (t,p)-filtration on G/L.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Define the function $\Omega : \mathbb{Z}_p^d \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ by

$$\Omega \circ \varphi(gL) = \sup_{\ell \in L} \{\omega(g\ell)\}.$$

By [6, I, 2.1.7, and the remark in II, 1.1.4.1], Ω defines a filtration on $\mathbb{Z}_p^d$, and indeed [6, IV, 3.4.2] implies that Ω is in fact a p-valuation.

By assumption (iii), we see that, for each $1 \le i \le d$ and any $\ell \in L$, we have $\omega(g_i) = \omega(g_i\ell)$, so that

$$\Omega(e_i) = \Omega \circ \varphi(g_i L) = \sup_{\ell \in L} \{\omega(g_i\ell)\} = \omega(g_i),$$

so by assumption (ii), $\Omega(e_i) = t$. Hence, by Lemma 1.3(ii), Ω must be the (t, p)-filtration on $\mathbb{Z}_p^d$. Now, by assumption (i), we have

$$\Omega(\hat{\sigma}(x) - x) > t$$

for all $x \in \{e_1, \dots, e_d\}$, and hence, as $\Omega - t$ takes integer values (by Definition 1.2),

$$\Omega(\hat{\sigma}(x) - x) \geq t + 1,$$

and so $\hat{\sigma}(x) - x \in p\mathbb{Z}_p^d$ for each $x \in \{e_1, \dots, e_d\}$, which is what we wanted to prove. □

1.2 p-valuations

In this subsection, we address the issue of hypotheses (ii) and (iii) of Theorem 1.1, by constructing a p-valuation on an arbitrary nilpotent p-valuable group satisfying certain nice technical properties. We will not address the case when the group in question is abelian.

Fix some $n \geq 2$, and write $\mathcal{U} := \mathcal{U}(GL_n(\mathbb{Z}_p))$ for the closed subgroup of $GL_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ consisting of unipotent upper triangular matrices, i.e.

$$\mathcal{U} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbb{Z}_p & \mathbb{Z}_p & \dots & \mathbb{Z}_p \\ 0 & 1 & \mathbb{Z}_p & \dots & \mathbb{Z}_p \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & \mathbb{Z}_p \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and write $\Gamma(k) := \Gamma(k, GL_n(\mathbb{Z}_p))$ for the kth congruence subgroup of $GL_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$, i.e.

$$\Gamma(k) = \{X \in GL_n(\mathbb{Z}_p) | X \equiv 1 \mod p^k\}.$$

Write $\varepsilon = 0$ if $p \neq 2$ and $\varepsilon = 1$ if $p = 2$.

Lemma 1.4. The group $\Gamma(1 + \varepsilon)$ has p-valuation ω defined by

$$\omega(x) = k,$$

where k is the positive integer such that $x \in \Gamma(k) \setminus \Gamma(k + 1)$.

Proof. This follows from [10, Proposition 2.1] and [4, Theorem 5.2]. □

Recall from [12, Lemma 2.2] that, if G is a non-abelian nilpotent p-valuable group, then there is some $n \geq 2$ for which there is a continuous embedding $G \to \mathcal{U}_n$. Recall also from [12, Corollary 3.6] the *isolated lower central series* of a nilpotent p-valuable group G: if (γ_i) is the abstract lower central series of G,

then defining $G_i := \mathrm{i}_G(\overline{\gamma_i})$, we have that (G_i) is a strongly central series for G consisting of isolated normal closed subgroups. We will also write $G' = G_2$.

Note that

$$\mathcal{U}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \boxed{0} & \mathbb{Z}_p & \mathbb{Z}_p & \dots & \mathbb{Z}_p \\ 0 & 1 & \boxed{0} & \mathbb{Z}_p & \dots & \mathbb{Z}_p \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \boxed{0} & \dots & \mathbb{Z}_p \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & \mathbb{Z}_p \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{U}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \boxed{0} & \mathbb{Z}_p & \dots & \mathbb{Z}_p \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \boxed{0} & \dots & \mathbb{Z}_p \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & \mathbb{Z}_p \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & \mathbb{Z}_p \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \dots$$

– that is, for $2 \leq k \leq n$, $\mathcal{U}_k$ is obtained from $\mathcal{U}$ by setting the entries in the first $k-1$ superdiagonals equal to zero.

Write $P = \mathrm{diag}(1, p, p^2, \dots, p^{n-1}) \in GL_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Note that $P^{-n}\mathcal{U}P^n \leq \Gamma(n)$.

Define a sequence of p-valuations on $\mathcal{U}$ as follows. Let ω be the p-valuation on $\Gamma(1+\varepsilon)$ defined above. Then, for any $X \in \mathcal{U}$, and for all $r > 0$, define $\omega_r(X) = \omega(P^{-1-\varepsilon-r}XP^{1+\varepsilon+r})$, so that $\omega_{r+1}(X) = \omega_r(P^{-1}XP)$.

Lemma 1.5. Fix some $Y \in \mathcal{U}_k \setminus \mathcal{U}_{k+1}$ (so, in particular, $Y \neq 1$). Then $\omega_{r+1}(Y) \geq \omega_r(Y) + k$ for all r; moreover, there exists some integer N such that, for all $r > N$, we have $\omega_{r+1}(Y) = \omega_r(Y) + k$.

Proof. Write

$$Z := P^{-1-\varepsilon}YP^{1+\varepsilon} = 1 + S_k + S_{k+1} + \dots + S_{n-1},$$

where each matrix S_ℓ has (i,j)-entry equal to zero for $j - i \neq \ell$. (That is, S_ℓ is the ℓ-th superdiagonal of Z.) By assumption, $Y \in \mathcal{U}_k \setminus \mathcal{U}_{k+1}$, so $S_k \neq 0$.

It is easy to see that

$$P^{-r}ZP^r = 1 + p^{rk}S_k + p^{r(k+1)}S_{k+1} + \dots + p^{r(n-1)}S_{n-1}.$$

For any matrix $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$, define $v(A) = \inf\{v_p(a_{ij})\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{r+1}(Y) &= \inf\{v(p^{(r+1)\ell}S_\ell)\} \\ &= \inf\{v(S_\ell) + (r+1)\ell\} \\ &\geq \inf\{v(S_\ell) + r\ell\} + k \\ &= \inf\{v(p^{r\ell}S_\ell)\} + k \\ &= \omega_r(Y) + k, \end{aligned}$$

where all of the above infima are taken over the range $k \leq \ell \leq n-1$.

We now need to show that this inequality becomes an equality for sufficiently large r: that is, there exists some N such that, for $r > N$, we have

$$\inf_{k \leq \ell \leq n-1}\{v(S_\ell) + (r+1)\ell\} = \inf_{k \leq \ell \leq n-1}\{v(S_\ell) + r\ell\} + k. \tag{1.2}$$

It will be enough to show that, for all $r > N$, we have

$$\inf_{k \leq \ell \leq n-1} \{v(S_\ell) + r\ell\} = v(S_k) + rk, \tag{1.3}$$

i.e. this infimum is attained when $\ell = k$ for all $r > N$; or equivalently that

$$v(S_k) + rk \leq v(S_\ell) + r\ell \tag{1.4}$$

for all $k \leq \ell \leq n-1$: indeed, substituting (1.3) for both the left- and right-hand sides of (1.2) shows clearly that they are equal.

Rearranging the inequality (1.4), we get

$$r(\ell - k) \geq v(S_k) - v(S_\ell)$$

for all $k \leq \ell \leq n-1$, and so we see that it suffices to take $N > v(S_k)$. □

Definition 1.6. Recall from [2, 4.2] that an *ordered basis* for a p-valuable group G (with p-valuation ω) is a set $\{g_1, \ldots, g_e\}$ of elements of G such that every element $x \in G$ can be uniquely written as the (ordered) product

$$x = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq e} g_i^{\lambda_i}$$

for some $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, and

$$\omega(x) = \inf_{1 \leq i \leq e} \{\omega(g_i) + v_p(\lambda_i)\},$$

where v_p is the usual p-adic valuation on $\mathbb{Z}_p$.

As in [2], we will often write

$$\mathbf{g}^\lambda := \prod_{1 \leq i \leq e} g_i^{\lambda_i}$$

as shorthand, where $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_e) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^e$.

We recall a property of ordered bases.

Lemma 1.7. Let G be a complete p-valued group of finite rank, and N a closed isolated normal subgroup of G. Then there exist sets $B_N \subseteq B_G$ such that B_N is an ordered basis for N and B_G is an ordered basis for G.

Proof. This follows from [2, proof of Lemma 8.5(a)]. □

Remark. It may be helpful to think of this as follows:

$$B_G = \{ \underbrace{x_1, \ldots, x_r}_{B_{G/N}}, \ \underbrace{x_{r+1}, \ldots, x_s}_{B_N} \},$$

where $B_{G/N} = B_G \setminus B_N$ is in fact the preimage in G of any ordered basis for G/N.

Finally, we derive the following result.

Lemma 1.8. Let G be a non-abelian nilpotent group which is complete p-valued of finite rank. Choose an ordered basis $\{g_{d+1}, \ldots, g_e\}$ for G', and extend it to an ordered basis $B = \{g_1, \ldots, g_e\}$ for G by Lemma 1.7. To each p-valuation α (for which B is an ordered basis), assign the real number

$$R(\alpha) = \inf_{d+1 \leq i \leq e} \{\alpha(g_i)\} - \inf_{1 \leq i \leq d} \{\alpha(g_i)\}.$$

Then there exists some such p-valuation α for G with $R(\alpha) > 0$.

Remark. This ensures that there is some $1 \leq i \leq d$ with $\alpha(g_i) < \alpha(x)$ for *every* $x \in G'$.

Proof. First, choose an embedding $\psi : G \to \mathcal{U}$. Let k be the greatest integer such that $\psi(G) \leq \mathcal{U}_k$: then $\psi(G') \leq (\mathcal{U}_k)' \leq \mathcal{U}_{k+1}$. Hence we must have some $1 \leq i_0 \leq d$ such that $\psi(g_{i_0}) \in \mathcal{U}_k \setminus \mathcal{U}_{k+1}$, and for each $d+1 \leq i \leq e$ we must have $\psi(g_i) \in \mathcal{U}_{k+1}$.

Fix i_0 as above, and for any p-valuation α and any $d-1 \leq i \leq e$, write

$$R_0^i(\alpha) := \alpha(g_i) - \alpha(g_{i_0}),$$

so that

$$R(\alpha) \geq \inf_{d+1 \leq i \leq e} \{R_0^i(\alpha)\},$$

and so it will suffice to find α such that $R_0^i(\alpha) > 0$ for each $d+1 \leq i \leq e$.

Now fix some $d+1 \leq i \leq e$, and write $Y = \psi(g_{i_0})$ and $X = \psi(g_i)$. By Lemma 1.5, there is some large N_i such that the following hold for all $r > N_i$:

$$\omega_{r+1}(Y) = \omega_r(Y) + k,$$

$$\omega_{r+1}(X) \geq \omega_r(X) + k + 1.$$

Subtracting, we get

$$\omega_{r+1}(X) - \omega_{r+1}(Y) \geq \omega_r(X) - \omega_r(Y) + 1.$$

In other words, if we set $\alpha_r = \omega_r \circ \psi$ for all $r > N_i$, then

$$R_0^i(\alpha_{r+1}) > R_0^i(\alpha_r).$$

Now set $N = \sup_{d+1 \leq i \leq e}\{N_i\}$. Then, for all $d+1 \leq i \leq e$, the sequence $(R_0^i(\alpha_r))_{r>N}$ is an increasing sequence of integers; hence they must eventually all be positive. □

Now we prove a general theorem about "lifting" p-valuations from torsion-free quotients.

Theorem 1.9. Let G be a p-valuable group, and N a closed isolated orbital (hence normal) subgroup of G. Suppose we are given two functions

$$\alpha, \beta : G \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\},$$

such that α is a p-valuation on G, and β factors through a p-valuation on G/N, i.e.

$$\overline{\beta} : G/N \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}.$$

Then $\omega = \inf\{\alpha, \beta\}$ is a p-valuation on G.

Proof. α and β are both filtrations on G (in the sense of [6, II, 1.1.1]), and so by [6, II, 1.2.10], ω is also a filtration. Following [6, III, 2.1.2], for ω to be a p-valuation, we need to check the following three conditions:

(i) $\omega(x) < \infty$ *for all* $x \in G$, $x \neq 1$.

This follows from the fact that α is a p-valuation, and hence $\alpha(x) < \infty$ for all $x \in G$, $x \neq 1$.

(ii) $\omega(x) > (p-1)^{-1}$ *for all* $x \in G$.

This follows from the fact that $\alpha(x) > (p-1)^{-1}$ and $\beta(x) > (p-1)^{-1}$ for all $x \in G$ by definition.

(iii) $\omega(x^p) = \omega(x) + 1$ *for all* $x \in G$.

Take any $x \in G$. As α is a p-valuation, we have by definition that $\alpha(x^p) = \alpha(x) + 1$.

If $x \in N$, this alone is enough to establish the condition, as $\omega|_N = \alpha|_N$ (since $\beta(x) = \infty$).

Suppose instead that $x \in G \setminus N$. Then, as N is assumed *isolated* orbital in G, we also have $x^p \in G \setminus N$, so by definition of β we have

$$\beta(x^p) = \overline{\beta}((xN)^p) = \overline{\beta}(xN) + 1 = \beta(x) + 1,$$

with the middle equality coming from the fact that $\overline{\beta}$ is a p-valuation. Now it is clear that $\omega(x^p) = \omega(x) + 1$ by definition of ω. □

Definition 1.10. Let G be a complete p-valued group of finite rank, with p-valuation ω, and suppose we have a proper closed isolated normal subgroup L containing G'. Choose an ordered basis $\{g_{d+1}, \ldots, g_e\}$ for L, and extend it to an ordered basis $\{g_1, \ldots, g_e\}$ for G by Lemma 1.7. We will say that ω satisfies property (A_L) if it satisfies hypotheses (ii) and (iii) of Theorem 1.1, i.e.

$$\left.\begin{aligned} \omega(g_1) = \cdots = \omega(g_d), \\ \text{and, for all } \ell \in L, \quad \omega(g_1) < \omega(\ell). \end{aligned}\right\} \qquad (\mathsf{A}_L)$$

Remark. This property is independent of the ordered basis chosen for ω.

Corollary 1.11. Let G be a nilpotent p-valuable group, and suppose we have a proper closed isolated normal subgroup L containing the isolated derived subgroup G'. Then there exists some p-valuation ω for G satisfying (A_L).

Proof. Let α_1 be a p-valuation for G satisfying $R(\alpha_1) > 0$ as in Lemma 1.8. Take an ordered basis $\{g_{d+1}, \ldots, g_e\}$ for L and extend it to an ordered basis $\{g_1, \ldots, g_e\}$ for G by Lemma 1.7, as in Definition 1.10. Fix two numbers t_1 and t_2 satisfying

$$(p-1)^{-1} < t_2 < t_1 \leq \inf_{1 \leq i \leq e} \alpha(g_i).$$

Applying Theorem 1.9 with $N = G'$ and $\overline{\beta_1}$ the (t_1, p)-filtration on G/G', we see that $\alpha_2 = \inf\{\alpha_1, \beta_1\}$ is a p-valuation for G, and by construction α_2 satisfies $(\mathsf{A}_{G'})$. Now let $\overline{\beta_2}$ be the (t_2, p)-filtration on G/L, and apply Theorem 1.9 again to see that $\omega = \inf\{\alpha_2, \beta_2\}$ is a p-valuation for G and satisfies (A_L). □

Remark. If ω satisfies (A_L) as above, write $t := \omega(g_1)$. Then, for any automorphism σ of G and any $1 \leq i \leq d$, we have

$$\omega(\sigma(g_i)) = t.$$

This follows from Lemma 1.3(iii). Indeed, by construction, we have $G_t = G$, and $G_{t^+} = G^p \cdot L$, an open normal subgroup; and when L is characteristic, G_{t^+} is characteristic.

1.3 Crossed products

Definition 1.12. Let R be a ring, and fix a subgroup $G \leq R^\times$; let F be a group. Fix a crossed product

$$S = R \underset{\langle \sigma, \tau \rangle}{*} F.$$

Consider the following properties that this crossed product may satisfy:

The image $\sigma(F)$ normalises G, i.e. $x^{\sigma(f)} \in G$ for all $x \in G, f \in F$.	(N_G)
The image $\tau(F, F)$ normalises G.	(N'_G)
The image $\tau(F, F)$ is a subset of G.	(P_G)

In the case when G is p-valuable, consider the set of p-valuations of G. Then $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$ acts on this set as follows: given an automorphism φ of G and a p-valuation ω of G, we may define a p-valuation $\varphi \cdot \omega$ on G by setting, for all $x \in G$,

$$(\varphi \cdot \omega)(x) = \omega(x^\varphi).$$

Remark. We have written φ on the left for ease of notation, but in fact this is a *right* action: given φ, ψ, we have $(\psi \cdot (\varphi \cdot \omega))(x) = (\varphi \cdot \omega)(x^\psi) = \omega((x^\psi)^\varphi)$.

When S satisfies (N'_G), we get a map $\rho : \tau(F,F) \to \mathrm{Aut}(G)$ (with elements of $\tau(F,F)$ acting by conjugation), so it will make sense to consider the following property:

Every p-valuation ω of G is invariant under elements of $\tau(F,F)$. (Q_G)

Lemma 1.13. In the notation above:

(i) If S satisfies (N_G), then S satisfies (N'_G).

(ii) If S satisfies (P_G), then S satisfies (N'_G).

(iii) If S satisfies (P_G), then S satisfies (Q_G).

Proof.

(i) Note that $\rho \circ \tau(x,y) = \sigma(xy)^{-1}\sigma(x)\sigma(y)$.

(ii) Obvious.

(iii) By (ii), we see that S satisfies (N'_G), so it makes sense to consider (Q_G).

Let ω be a p-valuation of G, and take $t \in \tau(F,F)$. As S satisfies (P_G), we actually have $t \in G$. Then, for any $x \in G$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(t \cdot \omega)(x) &= \omega(x^t) \\ &= \omega(t^{-1}xt) \\ &= \omega(x \cdot [x,t]) \\ &\geq \min\{\omega(x), \omega([x,t])\} = \omega(x),\end{aligned}$$

and so (by symmetry) $\omega(t^{-1}xt) = \omega(x)$.

□

Definition 1.14. Recall, from [11, Definition 5.4], that if we have a fixed crossed product

$$S = R \underset{\langle\sigma,\tau\rangle}{*} F \tag{1.5}$$

and a 2-cocycle

$$\alpha \in Z^2_\sigma(F, Z(R^\times)),$$

then we may define the ring

$$S_\alpha = R \underset{\langle\sigma,\tau\alpha\rangle}{*} F,$$

the *2-cocycle twist (of R, by α, with respect to the decomposition (1.5))*.

Lemma 1.15. Continuing with the notation above,

(i) S satisfies (N_G) if and only if S_α satisfies (N_G).

(ii) S satisfies (Q_G) if and only if S_α satisfies (Q_G).

Proof.

(i) Trivial from Definitions 1.12 and 1.14.

(ii) As $\alpha(F,F) \subseteq Z(R)^\times$, conjugation by elements of $\alpha(F,F)$ is the identity automorphism on G. □

These properties will be interesting to us later as they will allow us to invoke the following lemma:

Lemma 1.16. If S satisfies (N_G), then, given any $g \in F$ and p-valuation ω on G, the function $g \cdot \omega$ given by

$$(g \cdot \omega)(x) = \omega(x^{\sigma(g)})$$

is again a p-valuation. If, further, S satisfies (Q_G), then this is a *group* action of F on the set of p-valuations of G.

Proof. If $x \in G$, then $x^{\sigma(g)} \in G$ because S satisfies (N_G), so it makes sense to consider $\omega(x^{\sigma(g)})$. The definition above does indeed give a group action when S satisfies (Q_G), as, for all $g, h \in F$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(g \cdot (h \cdot \omega))(x) &= h \cdot \omega(x^{\sigma(g)}) \\
&= \omega(x^{\sigma(g)\sigma(h)}) \\
&= \omega(x^{\sigma(gh)\tau(g,h)}) \\
&= \omega(x^{\sigma(gh)}) && \text{by } (\mathsf{Q}_G) \\
&= (gh \cdot \omega)(x).
\end{aligned}$$

□

The following lemma will finally allow us to prove the existence of a p-valuation sufficiently "nice" for our purposes.

Lemma 1.17. Suppose S satisfies (N_G) and (Q_G), so that σ induces an action of F on the set of p-valuations as in the above lemma. Let ω be a p-valuation. If the F-orbit of ω is finite, then $\omega'(x) = \inf_{g \in F}(g \cdot \omega)(x)$ defines an F-invariant p-valuation.

Furthermore, if L is a closed isolated characteristic subgroup of G containing G', and ω satisfies (A_L) (as in Definition 1.10), then ω' satisfies (A_L).

Proof. The function ω' satisfies condition [6, III, 2.1.2.2], since the F-orbit of ω is finite, and is hence a p-valuation that is F-stable by the remark in [6, III, 2.1.2].

Suppose ω satisfies (A_L). That is, for some $t > (p-1)^{-1}$, ω induces the (t,p)-filtration on G/L, and $\omega(\ell) > t$ for all $\ell \in L$. But, given any $g \in F$, clearly $g \cdot \omega$ still induces the (t,p)-filtration on G/L by Lemma 1.3(iii), and $(g \cdot \omega)(\ell) = \omega(\ell^{\sigma(g)}) > t$, since $\ell^{\sigma(g)} \in L$ as L is characteristic. Taking the infimum over the finitely many distinct $g \cdot \omega$, $g \in F$, shows that ω' also satisfies (A_L). □

Definition 1.18. Let G be an arbitrary compact p-adic analytic group with $\Delta^+ = 1$, H an open normal subgroup of G, $F = G/H$, and P a faithful G-stable ideal of kH. Recall from [11, Definition 5.11] that the crossed product decomposition

$$kG/PkG = kH/P \underset{\langle\sigma,\tau\rangle}{*} F$$

is *standard* if the basis $\overline{F}$ is a subset of the image of the map $G \hookrightarrow (kG/PkG)^\times$.

Lemma 1.19. Suppose that $kG/PkG = kH/P \underset{\langle\sigma,\tau\rangle}{*} F$ is a standard crossed product decomposition. Take any $\alpha \in Z^2_\sigma(F, Z((kH/P)^\times))$, and form the central 2-cocycle twist $(kG/PkG)_\alpha$ [11] with respect to this decomposition. Then σ induces a group action of F on the set of p-valuations of $H \subseteq (kH/P)^\times$.

Proof. As the decomposition is standard, kG/PkG trivially satisfies both (N_H) (as H is normal in G) and (P_H). By Lemma 1.13(iii), kG/PkG also satisfies (Q_H). Now Lemma 1.15 shows that $(kG/PkG)_\alpha$ also satisfies (N_H) and (Q_H), so that σ induces a group action of F on the p-valuations of H inside $(kG/PkG)_\alpha$ by Lemma 1.16. □

Let L be a closed isolated characteristic subgroup of H containing H'.

Corollary 1.20. With notation as above, we can find an F-stable p-valuation ω on H satisfying (A_L).

Proof. This now follows immediately from Corollary 1.11 and Lemma 1.17. □

2 A graded ring

2.1 Generalities on ring filtrations

Definition 2.1. Recall that a *filtration* v on the ring R is a function $v : R \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ satisfying, for all $x, y \in R$,

- $v(x+y) \geq \min\{v(x), v(y)\}$,
- $v(xy) \geq v(x) + v(y)$,
- $v(0) = \infty, v(1) = 0$.

If in addition we have $v(xy) = v(x) + v(y)$ for all $x, y \in R$, then v is a *valuation* on R.

First, a basic property of ring filtrations.

Lemma 2.2. Suppose v is a filtration on R which takes non-negative values, i.e. $v(R) \subseteq [0, \infty]$, and let $u \in R^\times$. Then $v(ux) = v(xu) = v(x)$ for all $x \in R$.

Proof. By the definition of v, we have $0 = v(1) = v(uu^{-1}) \geq v(u) + v(u^{-1})$. As $v(u) \geq 0$ and $v(u^{-1}) \geq 0$, we must have $v(u) = 0 = v(u^{-1})$. Then

$$v(x) = v(u^{-1}ux) \geq v(u^{-1}) + v(ux) = v(ux) \geq v(u) + v(x) = v(x),$$

from which we see that $v(x) = v(ux)$; and by a symmetric argument, we also have $v(xu) = v(x)$. □

We will fix the following notation for this subsection.

Notation. Let G be a p-valuable group equipped with the p-valuation ω, and k a field of characteristic p. Take an ordered basis (defined in [2, 4.2]) $\{g_1, \ldots, g_d\}$ for G, and write $b_i = g_1 - 1 \in kG$ for all $1 \leq i \leq d$. As in [2], we make the following definitions:

- for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$, $\mathbf{b}^\alpha$ means the (ordered) product $b_1^{\alpha_1} \ldots b_d^{\alpha_d} \in kG$,
- for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_p^d$, $\mathbf{g}^\alpha$ means the (ordered) product $g_1^{\alpha_1} \ldots g_d^{\alpha_d} \in G$,
- for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_p^d$, $\langle \alpha, \omega(\mathbf{g}) \rangle$ means $\displaystyle\sum_{i=1}^{d} \alpha_i \omega(g_i)$,
- the canonical ring homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}_p \to k$ will sometimes be left implicit, but will be denoted by ι when necessary for clarity.

Definition 2.3. With notation as above, let w be the valuation on kG defined in [2, 6.2], given by

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \lambda_\alpha \mathbf{b}^\alpha \mapsto \inf_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \big\{ \langle \alpha, \omega(\mathbf{g}) \rangle \;\big|\; \lambda_\alpha \neq 0 \big\}.$$

Note that, in light of this formula [2, Corollary 6.2(b)], and by the construction [6, III, 2.3.3] of w, it is clear that the value of w is in fact independent of the ordered basis chosen. In particular, if φ is an automorphism of G, then $\{g_1^\varphi, \ldots, g_d^\varphi\}$ is another ordered basis of G; hence if ω is φ-stable (in the sense that $\omega(g^\varphi) = \omega(g)$ for all $g \in G$), then w is φ-stable (in the sense that $w(x^\varphi) = w(x)$ for all $x \in kG$, where φ here denotes the natural extension to kG).

We cite the following result from [1, Theorem]:

Lemma 2.4. Let

$$b = b_0 + b_1 p + b_2 p^2 + \cdots \in \mathbb{Z}_p,$$
$$n = n_0 + n_1 p + n_2 p^2 + \cdots + n_s p^s \in \mathbb{N},$$

where all $b_i, n_i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, p-1\}$. Then

$$\binom{b}{n} \equiv \prod_{i=0}^{s} \binom{b_i}{n_i} \mod p.$$

Corollary 2.5. Let $b \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If

$$v_p\left(\binom{b}{n}\right) = 0, \tag{2.1}$$

then $v_p(b) \leq v_p(n)$. Further, for fixed $b \in \mathbb{Z}_p$,

$$\inf\left\{n \in \mathbb{N} \;\middle|\; v_p\left(\binom{b}{n}\right) = 0\right\} = p^{v_p(b)}.$$

Proof. From Lemma 2.4 above, we can see that

$$\binom{b}{n} \equiv 0 \mod p$$

if and only if, for some $0 \leq i \leq s$,

$$\binom{b_i}{n_i} = 0,$$

which happens if and only if one of the pairs (b_i, n_i) for $0 \leq i \leq s$ has $b_i = 0 \neq n_i$. Hence, to ensure that this does not happen, we must have $v_p(b) \leq v_p(n)$. It is clear from Lemma 2.4 that $n = p^{v_p(b)}$ satisfies (2.1), and is the least $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $v_p(b) \leq v_p(n)$. □

Theorem 2.6. Take any $x \in G$, and $t = \inf \omega(G)$. Then $w(x-1) > t$ implies $\omega(x) > t$.

Proof. Write $x = \mathbf{g}^\alpha$. In order to show that $\omega(\mathbf{g}^\alpha) > t$, it suffices to show that $\omega(g_j) + v_p(\alpha_j) > t$ for each j (as there are only finitely many), and hence that $v_p(\alpha_j) \geq 1$ for all j such that $\omega(g_j) = t$. This is equivalent to the claim that $p^{v_p(\alpha_j)} > 1$, which we will write as $p^{v_p(\alpha_j)}\omega(g_j) > t$ for all j with $\omega(g_j) = t$.

Let $\beta^{(j)}$ be the d-tuple with ith entry $\delta_{ij}p^{v_p(\alpha_j)}$. Then, of course,

$$\langle \beta^{(j)}, \omega(\mathbf{g})\rangle = p^{v_p(\alpha_j)}\omega(g_j),$$

and by Corollary 2.5, we have

$$\binom{\alpha}{\beta^{(j)}} \not\equiv 0 \mod p.$$

Now suppose that $w(\mathbf{g}^\alpha - 1) > t$. We perform binomial expansion in kG to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{g}^\alpha - 1 &= \prod_{1\leq i\leq d} (1+b_i)^{\alpha_i} - 1 \qquad \text{(ordered product)} \\
&= \sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{N}^d} \iota\binom{\alpha}{\beta}\mathbf{b}^\beta - 1 \\
&= \sum_{\beta\neq 0} \iota\binom{\alpha}{\beta}\mathbf{b}^\beta,
\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$w(\mathbf{g}^\alpha - 1) = \inf\left\{ \langle \beta, \omega(\mathbf{g})\rangle \;\middle|\; \beta \neq 0, \binom{\alpha}{\beta} \not\equiv 0 \mod p \right\}.$$

So in particular, for all j satisfying $\omega(g_j) = t$, we have

$$t < w(\mathbf{g}^\alpha - 1) \leq \langle \beta^{(j)}, \omega(\mathbf{g})\rangle = p^{v_p(\alpha_j)}\omega(g_j),$$

which is what we wanted to prove. □

2.2 Constructing a suitable valuation

Let H be a nilpotent p-valuable group with centre Z. If k is a field of characteristic p, and $\mathfrak{p}$ is a faithful prime ideal of kZ, then by [2, Theorem 8.4], the ideal $P := \mathfrak{p}kH$ is again a faithful prime ideal of kH.

We will fix the following notation for this subsection.

Notation. Let G be a nilpotent-by-finite compact p-adic analytic group, with $\Delta^+ = 1$, and let $H = \mathbf{FN}_p(G)$ (as defined in [12, Definition 5.3]), here a *nilpotent* p-valuable radical, so that $\Delta = Z := Z(H)$. We will also write $F = G/H$.

Define $Q' = \mathbf{Q}(kZ/\mathfrak{p})$, the (classical) field of fractions of the (commutative) domain $kZ/\mathfrak{p}$, and $Q = Q' \underset{kZ}{\otimes} kH$, a tensor product of kZ-algebras, which (as $P = \mathfrak{p}kH$) we may naturally identify with the (right) localisation of kH/P with respect to $(kZ/\mathfrak{p}) \setminus \{0\}$ – a subring of the Goldie ring of quotients $\mathbf{Q}(kH/P)$.

Suppose further that the prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \lhd kZ$ is invariant under conjugation by elements of G.

Choose a crossed product decomposition

$$kG/PkG = kH/P \underset{\langle\sigma,\tau\rangle}{*} F$$

which is *standard* in the sense of the notation of Corollary 1.20. Choose also any $\alpha \in Z^2_\sigma(F, Z((kH/P)^\times))$, and form as in [11, Definition 5.3] the central 2-cocycle twist

$$(kG/PkG)_\alpha = kH/P \underset{\langle\sigma,\tau\alpha\rangle}{*} F.$$

Now the (right) divisor set $(kZ/\mathfrak{p}) \setminus \{0\}$ is G-stable by assumption, so by [8, Lemma 37.7], we may define the partial quotient ring

$$R := Q \underset{\langle\sigma,\tau\alpha\rangle}{*} F. \tag{2.2}$$

Our aim in this subsection is to construct an appropriate filtration f on the ring R. We will build this up in stages, following [2]. First, we define a finite set of valuations on Q'.

Definition 2.7. In [2, Theorem 7.3], Ardakov defines a valuation on $\mathbf{Q}(kH/P)$; let v_1 be the restriction of this valuation to Q', so that $v_1(x + \mathfrak{p}) \geq w(x)$ for all $x \in kZ$.

Lemma 2.8. σ induces a group action of F on the set of valuations of Q'.

Proof. Let u be a valuation of Q'. G acts on the set of valuations of Q' as follows:

$$(g \cdot u)(x) = u(g^{-1}xg).$$

Clearly, if $g \in H$, then $g^{-1}xg = x$ (as $x \in \mathbf{Q}(kZ/\mathfrak{p})$ where Z is the centre of H). Hence H lies in the kernel of this action, and we get an action of F on the set of valuations. By our choice of $\overline{F}$ as a subset of the image of G, this is the same as σ. □

Write $\{v_1, \ldots, v_s\}$ for the F-orbit of v_1.

Lemma 2.9. The valuations $v_1, \ldots, v_s$ are independent.

Proof. The v_i are all non-trivial valuations with value groups equal to subgroups of $\mathbb{R}$ by definition. Hence, by [3, VI.4, Proposition 7], they have height 1.

They are also pairwise inequivalent: indeed, suppose v_i is equivalent to $g \cdot v_i$ for some $g \in F$. Then by [3, VI.3, Proposition 3], there exists a positive real number λ with $v_i = \lambda(g \cdot v_i)$, and so $v_i = \lambda^n(g^n \cdot v_i)$ (as the actions of λ and g commute) for all n. But F is a finite group: so, taking $n = o(g)$, we get $v_i = \lambda^n v_i$. As v_i is non-trivial, we must have that $\lambda^n = 1$, and so $\lambda = 1$. So we may conclude, from [3, VI.4, Proposition 6(c)], that the valuations $v_1, \ldots, v_s$ are independent. □

Definition 2.10. Let v be the filtration of Q' defined by

$$v(x) = \inf_{1 \leq i \leq s} v_i(x)$$

for each $x \in Q'$.

Lemma 2.11. $\mathrm{gr}_v Q' \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} \mathrm{gr}_{v_i} Q'$.

Proof. The natural map

$$Q'_{v,\lambda} \to \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} Q'_{v_i,\lambda}/Q'_{v_i,\lambda^+}$$

clearly has kernel $\bigcap_{i=1}^{s} Q'_{v_i,\lambda^+} = Q'_{v,\lambda^+}$, giving an injective map $\mathrm{gr}_v Q' \to \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} \mathrm{gr}_{v_i} Q'$. The surjectivity of this map now follows from the Approximation Theorem [3, VI.7.2, Théorème 1], as the v_i are independent by Lemma 2.9. □

Next, we will extend the v_i and v from Q' to Q, as in the proof of [2, 8.6].

Notation. Continue with the notation above. Now, as H is p-valuable, and by Lemma 1.19, F acts on the set of p-valuations of H; hence, by Lemma 1.17 (or Corollary 1.20), we may choose a p-valuation ω which is F-stable. Fix such an ω, and construct the valuation w on kH from it as defined in Definition 2.3.

Let $\{y_{e+1}, \ldots, y_d\}$ be an ordered basis for Z, and extend it to an ordered basis $\{y_1, \ldots, y_d\}$ for H as in Lemma 1.7. For each $1 \leq j \leq e$, set $c_j = y_j - 1$ inside the ring kH/P.

Recall from [2, 8.5] that elements of Q may be written uniquely as

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^e} r_\gamma \mathbf{c}^\gamma,$$

where $r_\gamma \in Q'$ and $\mathbf{c}^\gamma := c_1^{\gamma_1} \ldots c_e^{\gamma_e}$, so that $Q \subseteq Q'[[c_1, \ldots, c_e]]$ as a left Q'-module.

Definition 2.12. For each $1 \leq i \leq s$, as in [2, proof of Theorem 8.6], we will define the valuation $f_i : Q \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ by

$$f_i\left(\sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^e} r_\gamma \mathbf{c}^\gamma\right) = \inf_{\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^e} \{v_i(r_\gamma) + w(\mathbf{c}^\gamma)\}.$$

Note in particular that $f_i|_{Q'} = v_i$, and $\mathrm{gr}_{f_i} Q$ is a commutative domain, again by [2, proof of Theorem 8.6].

Lemma 2.13. σ induces a group action of F on the set of valuations of Q.

Proof. Let u be a valuation of Q. Again, G acts on the set of valuations of Q by $(g \cdot u)(x) = u(g^{-1}xg)$. Now, any $n \in H$ can be considered as an element of $Q^\times$, so that

$$(n \cdot u)(x) = u(n^{-1}xn) = u(n^{-1}) + u(x) + u(n) = u(x). \qquad \square$$

In the following lemma, we crucially use the fact that ω has been chosen to be F-stable.

Lemma 2.14. $f_1, \ldots, f_s$ is the F-orbit of f_1.

Proof. Take some $g \in F$ and some $1 \leq i, j \leq s$ such that $v_j = g \cdot v_i$. We will first show that, for all $x \in Q$, we have $f_j(x) \leq g \cdot f_i(x)$. Indeed, as $f_j|_{Q'} = v_j = g \cdot v_i = g \cdot f_i|_{Q'}$, and both f_j and $g \cdot f_i$ are valuations, it will suffice to show that $(w(c_k) =) f_j(c_k) \leq g \cdot f_i(c_k)$ for each $1 \leq k \leq e$.

Fix some $1 \leq k \leq e$. Write $y_k^g = z\mathbf{y}^\alpha$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^e$ and $z \in Z$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} c_k^g = y_k^g - 1 &= z\mathbf{y}^\alpha - 1 \\ &= (z-1) + z\left(\prod_{i=1}^{e} (1+c_i)^{\alpha_i} - 1\right) \qquad \text{(ordered product)} \\ &= (z-1) + z\left(\sum_{\beta \neq 0} \iota\binom{\alpha}{\beta} \mathbf{c}^\beta\right), \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} (g \cdot f_i)(c_k) &= \inf\left\{ v_i(z-1), w(\mathbf{c}^\beta) \;\middle|\; \iota\binom{\alpha}{\beta} \neq 0 \right\} \qquad \text{by Definition 2.12} \\ &\geq \inf\left\{ w(z-1), w(\mathbf{c}^\beta) \;\middle|\; \iota\binom{\alpha}{\beta} \neq 0 \right\} \qquad \text{by Definition 2.7} \\ &= w(c_k^g), \end{aligned}$$

with this final equality following from [2, Lemma 8.5(b)]. But now, as ω has been chosen to be G-stable, w is also G-stable (see the remark in Definition 2.3), so that $w(c_k^g) = w(c_k)$.

Now, we have shown that, if $v_j = g \cdot v_i$ on Q', then $f_j \leq g \cdot f_i$ on Q.

Similarly, we have $v_i = g^{-1} \cdot v_j$ on Q', so $f_i \leq g^{-1} \cdot f_j$ on Q. But $f_i(x) \leq f_j(x^{g^{-1}})$ for all $x \in Q$ is equivalent to $f_i(y^g) \leq f_j(y)$ for all $y \in Q$ (by setting $x = y^g$). Hence we have $f_i = g \cdot f_j$ on Q, and we are done. □

As in Definition 2.10:

Definition 2.15. Let f be the filtration of Q defined by

$$f(x) = \inf_{1 \leq i \leq s} f_i(x)$$

for each $x \in Q$.

We now verify that the relationship between f and v is the same as that between the f_i and the v_i (Definition 2.12).

Lemma 2.16. Take any $x \in Q$, and write it in standard form as

$$x = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^e} r_\gamma \mathbf{c}^\gamma.$$

Then we have

$$f(x) = \inf_{\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^e} \{v(r_\gamma) + w(\mathbf{c}^\gamma)\}.$$

Proof. Immediate from Definitions 2.10, 2.12 and 2.15. □

Now we can extend Lemma 2.11 to Q:

Lemma 2.17. $\operatorname{gr}_f Q \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} \operatorname{gr}_{f_i} Q$.

Proof. As in the proof of Lemma 2.11, we get an injective map

$$\operatorname{gr}_f Q \to \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} \operatorname{gr}_{f_i} Q.$$

The proof of [2, 8.6] gives a map

$$(\operatorname{gr}_v(kZ/\mathfrak{p}))[Y_1, \ldots, Y_e] \to \operatorname{gr}_f(kN/P)$$

and isomorphisms

$$(\operatorname{gr}_{v_i}(kZ/\mathfrak{p}))[Y_1, \ldots, Y_e] \cong \operatorname{gr}_{f_i}(kN/P)$$

for each $1 \leq i \leq s$, in each case mapping Y_j to c_j for each $1 \leq j \leq e$.

Now, $\operatorname{gr} kN$ is a gr-*free* [5, §I.4.1, p. 28] $\operatorname{gr} kZ$-module with respect to f and each f_i, and each of these filtrations is discrete on kN by construction (see [2,

Corollary 6.2 and proof of Theorem 7.3]), so by [5, I.6.2(3)], kN is a *filt-free* kZ-module with respect to f and each f_i; and by [5, I.6.14], these maps extend to a map $(\mathrm{gr}_v Q')[Y_1, \ldots, Y_e] \to \mathrm{gr}_f Q$ and isomorphisms $(\mathrm{gr}_{v_i} Q')[Y_1, \ldots, Y_e] \cong \mathrm{gr}_{f_i} Q$ for each i.

Applying Lemma 2.14 to each $1 \leq i \leq s$, we get isomorphisms

$$(\mathrm{gr}_{v_i} Q')[Y_1, \ldots, Y_e] \to \mathrm{gr}_{f_i} Q,$$

which give a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathrm{gr}_v Q')[Y_1, \ldots, Y_e] & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \displaystyle\bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} (\mathrm{gr}_{v_i} Q')[Y_1, \ldots, Y_e] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\ \mathrm{gr}_f Q & \hookrightarrow & \displaystyle\bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} \mathrm{gr}_{f_i} Q. \end{array}$$

Hence clearly all maps in this diagram are isomorphisms. □

Now we return to the ring $R = Q * F$ defined in (3.2).

Definition 2.18. We can extend the filtration f on Q to an F-stable filtration on R by giving elements of the basis $\overline{F}$ value 0. That is, writing $\overline{F} = \{\overline{g}_1, \ldots, \overline{g}_m\}$, any element of $Q * F$ can be expressed uniquely as $\sum_{r=1}^{m} \overline{g}_r x_r$ for some $x_r \in Q$: the assignment

$$\begin{aligned} Q * F &\to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\} \\ \sum_{r=1}^{m} \overline{g}_r x_r &\mapsto f\left(\sum_{r=1}^{m} x_r\right) \end{aligned}$$

is clearly a filtration on $Q * F$ whose restriction to Q is just f. We will temporarily refer to this filtration as $\hat{f}$, though later we will drop the hat and simply call it f.

Note that, for any real number λ,

$$\begin{aligned} (Q * F)_{\hat{f},\lambda} &= \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} \overline{g_i}(Q_{f,\lambda}), \\ (Q * F)_{\hat{f},\lambda^+} &= \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} \overline{g_i}(Q_{f,\lambda^+}), \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{gr}_{\hat{f}}(Q*F) &= \bigoplus_{\lambda\in\mathbb{R}}\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{m}\overline{g_i}(Q_{f,\lambda}/Q_{f,\lambda^+})\right)\\ &= \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m}\overline{g_i}\left(\bigoplus_{\lambda\in\mathbb{R}}(Q_{f,\lambda}/Q_{f,\lambda^+})\right) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m}\overline{g_i}\left(\mathrm{gr}_f(Q)\right).\end{aligned}$$

That is, given the data of a crossed product $Q*F$ as in (3.2), we may view $\mathrm{gr}_{\hat{f}}(Q*F)$ as $\mathrm{gr}_f(Q)*F$ in a natural way.

We will finally record this as:

Lemma 2.19.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{gr}_f(Q*F) = \mathrm{gr}_f(Q)*F &\cong \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{s}\mathrm{gr}_{f_i}Q\right)*F\\ &\cong \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{s}(\mathrm{gr}_{v_i}Q')[Y_1,\ldots,Y_e]\right)*F,\end{aligned}$$

where each $\mathrm{gr}_{f_i}Q$ (or equivalently each $\mathrm{gr}_{v_i}Q'$) is a domain (see Definition 2.12). F permutes the f_i (or equivalently the v_i) transitively by conjugation. □

2.3 Automorphisms trivial on a free abelian quotient

We will fix the following notation for this subsection.

Notation. Let H be a nilpotent but non-abelian p-valuable group with centre Z. Write H' for the isolated derived group of H [12], and suppose we are given a closed isolated proper subgroup L of H which contains H' and Z. (We will show that such an L always exists in Lemma 3.5.) Fix a p-valuation ω on H satisfying (A_L) (which is possible by Corollary 1.20).

Let $\{g_{m+1},\ldots,g_n\}$ be an ordered basis for Z. Using Lemma 1.7 twice, extend this to an ordered basis $\{g_{l+1},\ldots,g_n\}$ for L, and then extend this to an ordered basis $\{g_1,\ldots,g_n\}$ for H. Diagrammatically:

$$B_H = \{\underbrace{g_1,\ldots,g_l}_{B_{H/L}},\ \underbrace{g_{l+1},\ldots,g_m}_{B_{L/Z}},\ \underbrace{g_{m+1},\ldots,g_n}_{B_Z}\}$$

in the notation of the remark after Lemma 1.7. Here, $0<l\leq m<n$, corresponding to the chain of subgroups $1\lneq Z\leq L\lneq H$.

Let k be a field of characteristic p.

In this subsection, we will write:

- for each $\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^m$, $\mathbf{b}^\alpha$ means the (ordered) product $b_1^{\alpha_1}\ldots b_m^{\alpha_m}\in kH$,

- for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_p^m$, $\mathbf{g}^\alpha$ means the (ordered) product $g_1^{\alpha_1} \dots g_m^{\alpha_m} \in H$,
- for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_p^m$, $\langle \alpha, \omega(\mathbf{g}) \rangle$ means $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i \omega(g_i)$.

Note the use of m rather than n in each case. This means that every element $x \in H$ may be written uniquely as

$$x = z\mathbf{g}^\alpha$$

for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_p^m$ and $z \in Z$; and every element $y \in kH$ may be written uniquely as

$$y = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^m} r_\gamma \mathbf{b}^\gamma$$

for some elements $r_\gamma \in kZ$.

Recall that, as we have chosen ω to satisfy (A_L), we have that

$$w(b_1) = \dots = w(b_l) < w(b_r)$$

for all $r > l$.

Corollary 2.20. Let σ be an automorphism of H, and consider it also as an automorphism of kH. Fix $1 \leq i \leq l$. If $f(\sigma(b_i) - b_i) > f(b_i)$, then $w(\sigma(b_i) - b_i) > w(b_i)$.

Proof. Write in standard form

$$\sigma(b_i) - b_i = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^m} r_\gamma \mathbf{b}^\gamma,$$

for some $r_\gamma \in kZ$, and suppose that $f(\sigma(b_i) - b_i) > f(b_i)$. That is, by Lemma 2.16,

$$v(r_\gamma) + w(\mathbf{b}^\gamma) > w(b_i)$$

for each fixed $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^m$.

We will show that $w(r_\gamma) + w(\mathbf{b}^\gamma) > w(b_i)$ for each γ. We deal with two cases.

Case 1: $w(\mathbf{b}^\gamma) > w(b_i)$. Then, as w takes non-negative values on kH, we are already done.

Case 2: $w(\mathbf{b}^\gamma) \leq w(b_i)$. Then, by (A_L), we have either $w(r_\gamma) > w(b_i)$ or $w(r_\gamma) = 0$. In the former case, we are done automatically, so assume we are in the latter case and $w(r_\gamma) = 0$. Then, by [2, 6.2], r_γ must be a unit in kZ, and so $f(r_\gamma) = 0$ by Lemma 2.2, a contradiction.

Hence $w(r_\gamma) + w(\mathbf{b}^\gamma) > w(b_i)$ for all $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^m$. But, as w is discrete by [2, 6.2], we may now take the infimum over all $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^m$, and the inequality remains strict. □

Let σ be an automorphism of H, and recall that H/L is a free abelian pro-p group of rank l. Choose a basis $e_1, \ldots, e_l$ for $\mathbb{Z}_p^l$; then the map $g_i L \mapsto e_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq l$ is an isomorphism $j : H/L \to \mathbb{Z}_p^l$. As L is characteristic in H, σ induces an automorphism of H/L, which gives a matrix $M_\sigma \in GL_l(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ under this isomorphism.

Write

$$\overline{\omega} : H/L \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$$

for the quotient p-valuation on H/L induced by ω, i.e.

$$\overline{\omega}(xL) = \sup_{\ell \in L} \{\omega(x\ell)\}$$

– note that this is just the (t,p)-filtration (Definition 1.2), as we have chosen ω to satisfy (A_L). Then write

$$\Omega : \mathbb{Z}_p^l \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$$

for the map $\Omega = \overline{\omega} \circ j^{-1}$, the (t,p)-filtration on $\mathbb{Z}_p^l$ corresponding to $\overline{\omega}$ under the isomorphism j.

Remark. If $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p^l$ has $\Omega(x) \geq t+1$, then $x \in p\mathbb{Z}_p^l$, by the definition of the (t,p)-filtration.

Corollary 2.21. Let σ be an automorphism of H, and consider it also as an automorphism of kH. If $f(\sigma(b_i) - b_i) > f(b_i)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq l$, then $M_\sigma \in \Gamma(1)$.

Proof. We have, for all $1 \leq i \leq l$,

$$\begin{aligned} f(\sigma(b_i) - b_i) > f(b_i) &\implies w(\sigma(b_i) - b_i) > w(b_i) \qquad \text{by Corollary 2.20,} \\ &\implies \omega(\sigma(g_i) g_i^{-1}) > \omega(g_i) \qquad \text{by Theorem 2.6,} \end{aligned}$$

– which is condition (1.1). Now we may invoke Theorem 1.1. □

Corollary 2.22. Let σ be an automorphism of H of *finite order*, and consider it also as an automorphism of kH. If $p > 2$ and $f(\sigma(b_i) - b_i) > f(b_i)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq l$, then $\overline{\sigma}$ is the identity automorphism on H/L. □

Proof. We have shown that $M_\sigma \in \Gamma(1)$, a p-valuable (hence torsion-free) group; and if σ has finite order, then M_σ must have finite order. So M_σ is the identity map. □

Remark. When $p = 2$, $\Gamma(1)$ is no longer p-valuable.

Example 2.23. Let $p = 2$, and let

$$H = \overline{\langle x, y, z \mid [x,y] = z, [x,z] = 1, [y,z] = 1 \rangle}$$

be the (2-valuable) $\mathbb{Z}_2$-Heisenberg group. Let σ be the automorphism sending x to x^{-1}, y to y^{-1} and z to z. Take $L = \overline{\langle z \rangle}$, and $P = 0$.

Write $X = x - 1 \in kH/P$, and likewise $Y = y - 1$ and $Z = z - 1$. Now,

$$\sigma(X) = \sigma(x) - 1 = x^{-1} - 1 = (1 + X)^{-1} - 1 = -X + X^2 - X^3 + \ldots,$$

and so $\sigma(X) - X = X^2 - X^3 + \ldots$ (as char $k = 2$). Hence $f(\sigma(X) - X) = f(X^2) > f(X)$; but

$$M_\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma(1, GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_2)),$$

and in particular $M_\sigma \neq 1$.

3 Extending prime ideals

3.1 X-inner automorphisms

Definition 3.1. [8, Lemma 12.3] Let R be a prime ring. An automorphism $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(R)$ is *X-inner* if there exist $a, b, c, d \in R$ such that, for all $x \in R$, we have $axb = c\sigma(x)d$. In a crossed product $S = R \underset{\langle\sigma,\tau\rangle}{*} G$, as in [11, Definition 5.5], we will write $\mathrm{Xinn}_S(R; G)$ for the normal subgroup of those $g \in G$ such that $(x \mapsto x^{\sigma(g)})$ is an X-inner automorphism of R in the crossed product S.

Lemma 3.2. R a prime ring and $R * G$ a crossed product.

(i) If $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(R)$ is X-inner, then σ is trivial on the centre of R.

(ii) If H is a subgroup of G containing G_{inn}, and $R * H$ is a prime ring, then $R * G$ is a prime ring.

Proof.

(i) This follows from the description of X-inner automorphisms of R as restrictions of inner automorphisms of the Martindale symmetric ring of quotients $\mathrm{Q}_s(R)$, and the fact that $Z(R)$ stays central in $\mathrm{Q}_s(R)$: see [8, §12] for details.

(ii) This follows from [8, Corollary 12.6]: if I is a nonzero ideal of $R * G$, then $I \cap R * G_{\mathrm{inn}}$ is nonzero, and hence $I \cap R * H$ is nonzero. □

3.2 Properties of $\mathbf{FN}_p(G)$

We prove here some important facts about the group $\mathbf{FN}_p(G)$.

Lemma 3.3. Let G be a nilpotent-by-finite compact p-adic analytic group with $\Delta^+ = 1$. Let $H = \mathbf{FN}_p(G)$, and write

$$K := K_G(H) = \{x \in G \mid [H, x] \leq H'\},$$

where H' denotes the isolated derived subgroup of H. Then $K = H$.

Proof. Firstly, note that K contains H, as H is nilpotent.

Suppose that H is p-saturated. By the same argument as in [12, Lemma 4.3], K acts nilpotently on H, and so K acts nilpotently on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$ associated to H under Lazard's isomorphism of categories [6]. That is, we get a group representation $\mathrm{Ad} : K \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{h})$, and $(\mathrm{Ad}(k) - 1)(\mathfrak{h}_i) \subseteq \mathfrak{h}_{i+1}$ for each $k \in K$ and each i. (Here, $\mathfrak{h}_i$ denotes the ith term in the lower central series for $\mathfrak{h}$.)

Choosing a basis for $\mathfrak{h}$ adapted to the flag

$$\mathfrak{h} \supsetneq \mathfrak{h}_2 \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq \mathfrak{h}_r = 0,$$

we see that Ad is a representation of K for which $\mathrm{Ad}(k) - 1$ is strictly upper triangular for each $k \in K$; in other words, $\mathrm{Ad} : K \to \mathcal{U}$, where $\mathcal{U}$ is a closed subgroup of some $GL_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ consisting of unipotent upper-triangular matrices. Hence the image $\mathrm{Ad}(K)$ is nilpotent and torsion-free.

Furthermore, $\ker \mathrm{Ad}$ is the subgroup of K consisting of those elements k which centralise $\mathfrak{h}$, and therefore centralise H. This clearly contains $Z(H)$. On the other hand, if k centralises H, then k is centralised by H, an open subgroup of G, and so k must be contained in Δ. But $\Delta = Z(H)$ by [12, Lemma 5.1(ii)].

Hence K is a central extension of two nilpotent, torsion-free compact p-adic analytic groups of finite rank, and so is such a group itself; hence K is nilpotent p-valuable by [12, Lemma 2.3], and so must be contained in H by definition of $\mathbf{FN}_p(G)$.

Now suppose H is not p-saturated. Conjugation by $k \in K$ induces the trivial automorphism on H/H', so by [6] it does also on $\mathrm{Sat}\,(H/H')$, which is naturally isomorphic to $\mathrm{Sat}\, H/(\mathrm{Sat}\, H)'$ by [12, Lemma 3.2]. This shows that $K \subseteq K_G(\mathrm{Sat}\, H)$. But now, writing $\mathfrak{h}$ for the Lie algebra associated to $\mathrm{Sat}\, H$, the same argument as above, *mutatis mutandis*, shows that $K_G(\mathrm{Sat}\, H) = H$. □

Some properties.

Lemma 3.4. Let G be a compact p-adic analytic group with $\Delta^+ = 1$, and write $H = \mathbf{FN}_p(G)$. If H is not abelian, then $H/Z = \mathbf{FN}_p(G/Z)$.

Proof. H/Z is a nilpotent p-valuable open normal subgroup of G/Z, so must be contained within $\mathbf{FN}_p(G/Z)$. Conversely, the preimage in G of $\mathbf{FN}_p(G/Z)$ is a central extension of Z by $\mathbf{FN}_p(G/Z)$, two nilpotent and torsion-free groups, and hence is nilpotent and torsion-free, so must be p-valuable by [12, Lemma 2.3], which shows that it must be contained within H. □

The (closed, isolated orbital, characteristic) subgroup $\mathrm{i}_H(H'Z)$ of $H = \mathbf{FN}_p(G)$ will be crucial throughout the paper, so we record some results.

Lemma 3.5. Let H be a nilpotent p-valuable group. If H is not abelian, then $H \neq \mathrm{i}_H(H'Z)$.

Proof. Suppose first that H is p-saturated, and write $\mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathfrak{z}$ for the Lie algebras of H and Z respectively under Lazard's correspondence [6]. If $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}_2\mathfrak{z}$ (writing $\mathfrak{h}_2$ for the second term in the lower central series of $\mathfrak{h}$), then by applying $[\mathfrak{h}, -]$ to both sides, we see that $\mathfrak{h}_2 = \mathfrak{h}_3$. But as $\mathfrak{h}$ is nilpotent, this implies that $\mathfrak{h}_2 = 0$, so that $\mathfrak{h}$ is abelian, a contradiction.

When H is not p-saturated: note that $\mathrm{i}_H(H'Z) = \mathrm{Sat}(H'Z) \cap H$, by [12, Lemma 3.1], and so that $\mathrm{Sat}(H/\mathrm{i}_H(H'Z)) \cong \mathrm{Sat}(H)/\mathrm{Sat}(H'Z)$ by [12, Lemma 3.2]. Hence $H/\mathrm{i}_H(H'Z)$ has the same (in particular non-zero) rank as $\mathrm{Sat}(H)/\mathrm{Sat}(H'Z)$. □

Lemma 3.6. Let G be a nilpotent-by-finite compact p-adic analytic group with $\Delta^+ = 1$. Let $H = \mathbf{FN}_p(G)$, and assume that H is not abelian. Write

$$M := M_G(H) = \{x \in G \mid [H, x] \leq \mathrm{i}_H(H'Z)\},$$

where H' denotes the isolated derived subgroup of H and Z its centre. Then $M = H$.

Proof. Clearly $Z \leq M$. We will calculate M/Z.

First, note that $\mathrm{i}_H(H'Z)/Z$ is an isolated normal subgroup of H/Z, as the quotient is isomorphic to $H/\mathrm{i}_H(H'Z)$, which is torsion-free. Also, as $\mathrm{i}_H(H'Z)$ contains $H'Z$ and hence $\overline{[H,H]}Z$ as an open subgroup, clearly $\mathrm{i}_H(H'Z)/Z$ contains $\overline{[H,H]}Z/Z$ as an open subgroup, so that $\mathrm{i}_H(H'Z)/Z \leq \mathrm{i}_{H/Z}(\overline{[H,H]}Z/Z)$.

Now, $[H/Z, H/Z] = [H,H]Z/Z$ as abstract groups, so by taking their closures followed by their (H/Z)-isolators, we see that

$$(H/Z)' = \mathrm{i}_{H/Z}\big(\overline{[H,H]Z/Z}\big) = \mathrm{i}_{H/Z}\big(\overline{[H,H]}Z/Z\big),$$

so that

$$\mathrm{i}_H(H'Z)/Z = (H/Z)'.$$

But $x \in M$ if and only if $[H, x] \leq \mathrm{i}_H(H'Z)$, which is equivalent to $[H/Z, xZ] \leq (H/Z)'$, or in other words $xZ \in K_{G/Z}(H/Z) = H/Z$ by Lemma 3.3. So $M/Z = H/Z$, and hence $M = H$. □

3.3 Main theorem

Proposition 3.7. Let G be a nilpotent-by-finite compact p-adic analytic group with $\Delta^+ = 1$. Let $H = \mathbf{FN}_p(G)$, and write $F = G/H$. Let P be a G-stable, faithful prime ideal of kH. Let $(kG)_\alpha$ be a central 2-cocycle twist of kG with respect to a standard (Definition 1.18) decomposition

$$kG = kH \underset{\langle \sigma, \tau \rangle}{*} F,$$

for some $\alpha \in Z^2_\sigma(F, Z((kH)^\times))$, as in [11, Theorem 5.12]. Then $P(kG)_\alpha$ is a prime ideal of $(kG)_\alpha$.

Proof. First, we note that the claim that $P(kG)_\alpha$ is a prime ideal of $(kG)_\alpha$ is equivalent to the claim that

$$(kG)_\alpha / P(kG)_\alpha = kH/P \underset{\langle \sigma, \tau\alpha \rangle}{*} F$$

is a prime ring.

Case 1. Suppose that G centralises Z.

If H is abelian, so that $H = Z$, then every $g \in G$ is centralised by Z, an open subgroup of G. Hence $g \in \Delta$, i.e. $G = \Delta$. But, by [12, Theorem D], $\Delta \leq H$, and so we have $G = H$ and there is nothing to prove.

So suppose henceforth that $Z \lneq H$, and write $L := \mathrm{i}_H(H'Z)$, so that, by Lemma 3.5, we have $L \lneq H$. As the decomposition of kG is standard, we may view F as a subset of G.

The idea behind the proof is as follows. We will construct a crossed product $R * F'$, where R is a certain commutative domain and F' is a certain subgroup of F, with the following property: if $R * F'$ is a prime ring, then $P(kG)_\alpha$ is a prime ideal. Then, by using the well-understood structure of R, we will show that the action of F' on R is X-outer (in the sense of Definition 3.1), so that $R * F'$ is a prime ring.

By Corollary 1.20, we can see that H admits an F-stable p-valuation ω satisfying (A_L). Hence, in the notation of §2.1, we may define the filtration w from ω as in Definition 2.3. Furthermore, we write

$$Q' = \mathbf{Q}(kZ/P \cap kZ), \qquad Q = Q' \underset{kZ}{\otimes} kN,$$

as in §2.2; and we endow Q with the F-orbit of filtrations f_i $(1 \leq i \leq s)$ and the filtration f of Definitions 2.12 and 2.15, defined in terms of the filtration w above.

By [7, 2.1.16(vii)], in order to show that the crossed product

$$kH/P \underset{\langle\sigma,\tau\alpha\rangle}{*} F \tag{3.1}$$

is a prime ring, it suffices to show that the related crossed product

$$Q \underset{\langle\sigma,\tau\alpha\rangle}{*} F \tag{3.2}$$

is prime, where this crossed product is defined in §2.2. Then, by [5, II.3.2.7], it suffices to show that

$$\mathrm{gr}_f(Q * F) \tag{3.3}$$

is prime. Details of this graded ring are given in Lemma 2.19: in particular, note that

$$\mathrm{gr}_f(Q * F) \cong \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} \mathrm{gr}_{f_i} Q\right) * F.$$

Now, as noted in Definition 2.12, each $\mathrm{gr}_{f_i} Q$ is a commutative domain, and by construction, F permutes the summands $\mathrm{gr}_{f_i} Q$ transitively. So by [8, Corollary 14.8] it suffices to show that

$$\mathrm{gr}_{f_1} Q * F' \tag{3.4}$$

is prime, where $F' = \mathrm{Stab}_F(f_1)$.

Notation. We set up notation in order to be able to apply the results of §2.3. Let $\{y_{m+1}, \ldots, y_n\}$ be an ordered basis for Z, which we extend to an ordered basis $\{y_{l+1}, \ldots, y_n\}$ for L, which we extend to an ordered basis $\{y_1, \ldots, y_n\}$ for H. Set $b_i = y_i - 1 \in kH/P$, and let $Y_i = \mathrm{gr}_{f_1}(b_i)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$. Then

$$\mathrm{gr}_{f_1} Q \cong \left(\mathrm{gr}_{v_1} Q'\right)[Y_1, \ldots, Y_m].$$

The ring on the right-hand side inherits a crossed product structure

$$\left(\mathrm{gr}_{v_1} Q'\right)[Y_1, \ldots, Y_m] * F'. \tag{3.5}$$

from (3.4). Writing $R := \left(\mathrm{gr}_{v_1} Q'\right)[Y_1, \ldots, Y_m]$, we have now shown, by passing along the chain

$$(3.5) \to (3.4) \to (3.3) \to (3.2) \to (3.1),$$

that we need only show that $R * F'$ is prime.

Write F'_{inn} for the subgroup of F' acting on R by X-inner automorphisms in the crossed product (3.5), i.e.

$$F'_{\mathrm{inn}} = \mathrm{Xinn}_{R*F'}(R; F')$$

in the notation of Definition 3.1. By the obvious abuse of notation, we will denote this action as the map of sets $\mathrm{gr}\,\sigma : F' \to \mathrm{Aut}(R)$.

Take some $g \in F'$. If $\mathrm{gr}\,\sigma(g)$ acts non-trivially on R, then as R is commutative, we have $g \notin F'_{\mathrm{inn}}$. Hence, as by Lemma 3.2(ii) we need only show that $R * F'_{\mathrm{inn}}$ is prime, we may restrict our attention to those $g \in F'$ that act trivially on R. In particular, such a $g \in F'$ must centralise each Y_i. But

$$\mathrm{gr}\,\sigma(g)(Y_i) = Y_i \Leftrightarrow f(\sigma(g)(b_i) - b_i) > f(b_i).$$

Now we see from Corollary 2.22 that $\sigma(g)$ induces the identity automorphism on H/L, and hence from Lemma 3.6 that $g \in H$. That is, F'_{inn} is the trivial group, so that $R * F'_{\mathrm{inn}} = R$ is automatically prime.

Case 2. Suppose some $x \in F$ does not centralise Z. Write F_{inn} for the subgroup of F acting by X-inner automorphisms on kH/P in the crossed product (3.1), i.e.

$$F_{\mathrm{inn}} := \mathrm{Xinn}_{(kG)_\alpha/P(kG)_\alpha}(kH/P; F).$$

Then, by Lemma 3.2(i), $x \notin F_{\mathrm{inn}}$; so F_{inn} is contained in $\mathbf{C}_F(Z)$, and we need only prove that the sub-crossed product $(kH/P) * \mathbf{C}_F(Z)$ is prime by Lemma 3.2(ii). This reduces the problem to Case 1. □

Proposition 3.8. Let G be a nilpotent-by-finite compact p-adic analytic group. Let $H = \mathbf{FN}_p(G)$, and write $F = G/H$. Let P be a G-stable, almost faithful prime ideal of kH. Then PkG is prime.

Proof. Recall the notation of [11]. Let $e \in \mathrm{cpi}^{\overline{k\Delta^+}}(P)$, and write $f_H = e|^H$, $f = e|^G$. Then PkG is a prime ideal of kG if and only if $f \cdot \overline{PkG}$ is prime in $f \cdot \overline{kG}$.

Write $H_1 = \mathrm{Stab}_H(e)$ and $G_1 = \mathrm{Stab}_G(e)$. Then, by the Matrix Units Lemma [11, Lemma 6.1], we get an isomorphism

$$f \cdot \overline{kG} \cong M_s(e \cdot \overline{kG_1})$$

for some s, under which the ideal $f \cdot \overline{PkG}$ is mapped to $M_s(e \cdot \overline{P_1 kG_1})$, where P_1 is the preimage in kH_1 of $e \cdot \overline{P} \cdot e$. It is easy to see that P_1 is prime in kH_1; indeed, applying the Matrix Units Lemma to kH, we get

$$f_H \cdot \overline{kH} \cong M_{s'}(e \cdot \overline{kH_1}),$$

under which $f_H \cdot \overline{P} \mapsto M_{s'}(e \cdot \overline{P_1})$, so that P_1 is prime by Morita equivalence [11, Lemma 1.7]. We also know from [11, Lemma 6.6] (or the remark after [11, Lemma 6.2]) that

$$P^\dagger = \bigcap_{h \in H} (P_1^\dagger)^h.$$

Now, writing q to denote the natural map $G \to G/\Delta^+$,

$$q\left(\left(P_1^\dagger \cap \Delta\right)^h\right) = q\left(P_1^\dagger \cap \Delta\right)$$

for all $h \in H$, as $q(\Delta) = Z(q(H))$ by definition of H (see [12, Lemma 5.1(ii)]); and so

$$q\left(P^\dagger \cap \Delta\right) = q\left(P_1^\dagger \cap \Delta\right) = q(1).$$

But $q\left(P_1^\dagger\right)$ is a normal subgroup of the nilpotent group $q(H_1)$. Hence, as the intersection of $q\left(P_1^\dagger\right)$ with the centre $q(\Delta)$ of $q(H)$ is trivial, we must have that $q\left(P_1^\dagger\right)$ is trivial also [9, 5.2.1]. That is, $P_1^\dagger \leq \Delta^+(H_1) = \Delta^+$.

Now, in order to show that $M_s(e \cdot \overline{P_1 kG_1})$ is prime, we may equivalently (by Morita equivalence) show that $e \cdot \overline{P_1 kG_1}$ is prime. By [11, Theorem 5.12], we get an isomorphism

$$e \cdot \overline{kG_1} \cong M_t\big((k'[[G_1/\Delta^+]])_\alpha\big),$$

for some integer t, some finite field extension k'/k, and a central 2-cocycle twist (see [11]) of $k'[[G_1/\Delta^+]]$ with respect to a standard crossed product decomposition

$$k'[[G_1/\Delta^+]] = k'[[H_1/\Delta^+]] \underset{\langle\sigma,\tau\rangle}{*} (G_1/H_1)$$

given by some

$$\alpha \in Z^2_\sigma\left(G_1/H_1, Z\left(\left(k'[[H_1/\Delta^+]]\right)^\times\right)\right).$$

Writing the image of $e \cdot \overline{P_1}$ as $M_t(\mathfrak{p})$ for some ideal $\mathfrak{p} \lhd k'[[H_1/\Delta^+]]$, we see by [11, Theorem C] that $\mathfrak{p}$ is a faithful, (G_1/Δ^+)-stable prime ideal of $k'[[H_1/\Delta^+]]$. It now remains only to show that the extension of $\mathfrak{p}$ to $k'[[G_1/\Delta^+]]$ is prime; but this now follows from Proposition 3.7.

□

Proof of Theorem A. This follows from Proposition 3.8.

References

[1] R.C. Alperin. p-adic binomial coefficients MOD P. *The American Mathematical Monthly*, 92(8):576–578, 1985.

[2] K. Ardakov. Prime ideals in nilpotent Iwasawa algebras. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 190(2):439–503, 2012.

[3] N. Bourbaki. *Commutative Algebra*. Springer, 1998.

[4] J.D. Dixon, M.P.F. du Sautoy, A. Mann and D. Segal. *Analytic Pro-p Groups*. Cambridge University Press, 1999.

[5] Li Huishi and Freddy van Oystaeyen. *Zariskian Filtrations*. Springer Science+Business Media, 1996.

[6] Michel Lazard. Groupes analytiques p-adiques. *Publications Mathématiques de l'IHÉS*, 26:5–219, 1965.

[7] J.C. McConnell and J.C. Robson. *Noncommutative Noetherian Rings*. American Mathematical Society, 2001.

[8] Donald S. Passman. *Infinite Crossed Products*. Academic Press Inc., 1989.

[9] Derek J.S. Robinson. *A course in the theory of groups*. Springer-Verlag New York, 1996.

[10] T. Schmidt. Auslander regularity of p-adic distribution algebras. *Representation Theory*, 12:37–57, 2008.

[11] W. Woods. Maximal prime homomorphic images of mod-p Iwasawa algebras. Preprint, `arXiv:1608.07755 [math.RT]`, 2016.

[12] W. Woods. On the structure of virtually nilpotent compact p-adic analytic groups. Preprint, `arXiv:1608.03137 [math.GR]`, 2016.